

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

F

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	R ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/764,673	01/18/2001	Ram K. Ramesh	D-42035-06	8627	
28236 7	590 04/0	5/2005	EXA	EXAMINER	
CRYOVAC,	INC.	HARMON, C	HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R		
SEALED AIR	CORP			T	
P.O. BOX 464		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DUNCAN, SC 29334			3721		
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/20		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		570			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/764,673	RAMESH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher R Harmon	3721			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 L	December 2004.				
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 29-48 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-48</u> is/are rejected.		•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documen					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea	•	od			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	tor the certified copies flot receiv	eu.			
Attachment(s)					

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/23/04.

U.S. Patent and Trademerk Office

PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) [Oth	ner:
------	-----	------

Application/Control Number: 09/764,673 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/23/04 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 29-38 and 40-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson et al. (US 3,130,647) in view of Ohya (EP 0149321).

Anderson et al. disclose a process for making a backseamed casing comprising preparing a multilayer heat shrinkable film, wrapping the film longitudinally around a forming shoe with opposing edges overlapped and sealed forming a backseam while forwarding the film; see figure 13. Anderson discusses varying the thickness of at least one ply of the multilayer web; see column 3, lines 10-15 and lists possible materials

Application/Control Number: 09/764,673

Art Unit: 3721

such as "polyethylene, styrene, nylon, vinylidene and chloride fluorocarbon plastic being representative, but not exclusive.", column 4, lines 7-8. Ohya teaches preparing a multilayer heat shrinkable film comprising a first and third outer layers comprising anhydrous polyolefin (preferred list page 6, 2nd paragraph); second layer comprising polyester or a first polyamide of 5 to 40% thickness (of total); fourth layer of VDC (O2 barrier layer); see page 2 and 11. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the materials as taught by Ohya in the invention to Anderson et al. for manufacturing the backseamed casing.

Regarding claim 45, Anderson et al. disclose a lap seal (figure 2).

The examiner takes **OFFICIAL NOTICE** that limitations such as vicat softening points of 90 degrees (claim 33), 9% by weight of unsaturated acid mer present (claim 34), variations of layering, etc. are obvious design choices and at the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide the desired polymer layer.

4. Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson et al. (US 3,130,647) in view of Ohya (EP 0149321) in further view of Schirmer (US 4,448,792).

Anderson et al. do not directly disclose three to six layers of film forming the multilayer film, however Schirmer teaches constructing a thermoplastic heat shrinkable multilayer (six layers) bag, see figures 1 and 2. Schirmer also teaches oxygen barrier layer 14 comprising polyvinylidene chloride copolymer. The casing film shrinks in near boiling water or 185 degrees F, see column 4, lines 43-45. Schirmer discloses using

Application/Control Number: 09/764,673

Art Unit: 3721

propylene homopolymers or copolymers for a specific layer (see column 3, lines 58-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add layers as taught by Schirmer in the modified invention to Anderson et al.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R Harmon whose telephone number is (571) 272-4461. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ch

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700

Page 4